

Demonstration Firm, APLC

CASE PACKAGE

One file. The exhibit and the working copy.

Jane Doe

25 messages · about 1 minute to read this thread by hand

Prepared October 13, 2026

What is in this file

Part A — Complete conversation exhibit

Numbered, timestamped thread with 7-day gaps flagged and a signature page. Times are this computer's time zone, not the phone's. Offer this as the message exhibit. It is not a phone forensic image and does not authenticate itself — a person with knowledge still has to testify that it matches the export.

Part B — Forensic pattern report

Working copy for counsel: patterns, flagged quotes, scores. Supporting documentation, not expert testimony. Do not offer Part B as a substitute for a qualified witness.

Use it in three steps

1. Have the client (or other person with knowledge) sign the declaration in Part A.
2. Mark Part A as an exhibit. Sequential message numbers and 7-day gap flags make missing pages visible. If the clerk wants the exhibit as its own file, download Part A separately from the case screen.
3. Use Part B to find the patterns and quotes. Keep Part B as counsel's working copy.

Demonstration Firm, APLC

COMPLETE CONVERSATION EXHIBIT

Chronological printout of the uploaded export — not pattern analysis.

Prepared:	October 13, 2026
Matter / client:	Jane Doe
Caption:	JANE DOE v. ALEX MORGAN
Case No.:	D-2026-XXXX
Messages:	25
Senders:	Jane Doe, Alex Morgan
Date range:	June 15, 2026 — October 12, 2026
Timestamps unparseable:	0
Gaps of 7+ days:	3
Times shown in:	America/Chicago (CDT) — this computer, not the original device
SHA-256 of this printout:	fffd177a3deee2b8519e7ca2cb39970965a645c41f9a76dca86186f0719eea11

Source file(s)

- demonstration-whatsapp.txt (whatsapp, 25 messages)

What this is, and what it is not

This exhibit is a chronological printout of messages parsed in the attorney's browser from the uploaded export file. Sequential numbers correspond to that parsed order. Gaps of seven days or more are flagged so missing or silent periods are visible rather than hidden. This is not a forensic image of a phone, not a chain of custody of the original device, and not proof of authorship. A person with knowledge must still testify that the export and this printout fairly represent the conversation. Pattern labels and health scores are not included here — those appear only in the separate forensic report. Timestamps are converted into this computer's time zone; the original device zone is not known.

Flagged gaps (7+ days)

After #11: 27 days (June 18, 2026 to July 15, 2026)

After #17: 29 days (July 16, 2026 to August 14, 2026)

After #21: 45 days (August 15, 2026 to September 29, 2026)

Declaration of the person with knowledge

Complete and sign before offering this exhibit. This page is a convenience form — it does not make the printout self-authenticating.

I, _____, declare:

1. I am familiar with the conversation printed in this exhibit.
2. The messages were exported from _____
(device / account) on _____ (date).
3. I have reviewed this printout. To my knowledge it fairly and accurately
represents that conversation, without additions or deletions except:

4. Sequential numbers in this exhibit correspond to chronological order
of the parsed export.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Printed name: _____ Role: ☐ client ☐ counsel ☐ other

#	Date / time	Sender / message
1	Jun 15, 2026, 3:00 PM CDT	Jane Doe Can you pick them up at 3 tomorrow?
2	Jun 15, 2026, 3:00 PM CDT	Alex Morgan I never said I'd pick them up at 3. You're rewriting history again.
3	Jun 15, 2026, 4:00 PM CDT	Jane Doe The school called me. They said you never showed.
4	Jun 15, 2026, 4:00 PM CDT	Alex Morgan The school didn't call you — you're making that up.
5	Jun 16, 2026, 9:00 AM CDT	Jane Doe That's exactly what happened.
6	Jun 16, 2026, 9:00 AM CDT	Alex Morgan That's not what happened and you know it.
7	Jun 17, 2026, 2:00 PM CDT	Jane Doe I'm taking them to mom's on Sunday.
8	Jun 17, 2026, 2:00 PM CDT	Alex Morgan If you take them to your mom's I'm not bringing them back Sunday.
9	Jun 17, 2026, 3:00 PM CDT	Jane Doe Don't do this.
10	Jun 17, 2026, 3:00 PM CDT	Alex Morgan Send me a screenshot of who you're texting or they stay with me.
11	Jun 18, 2026, 8:00 PM CDT	Alex Morgan I'll check your location later — don't even think about lying.
<i>[Gap of 27 days — possible missing messages or a period of no contact]</i>		
12	Jul 15, 2026, 12:00 PM CDT	Jane Doe We need a regular exchange. Same time each week.
13	Jul 15, 2026, 1:00 PM CDT	Alex Morgan You're the one keeping the kids from me. I'm documenting everything.
14	Jul 15, 2026, 1:00 PM CDT	Jane Doe I filed because I had to.
15	Jul 15, 2026, 2:00 PM CDT	Alex Morgan I'm the victim here. You filed first.
16	Jul 16, 2026, 10:00 AM CDT	Alex Morgan Everyone can see what you're doing to me.
17	Jul 16, 2026, 11:00 AM CDT	Jane Doe Can we just talk about this calmly
<i>[Gap of 29 days — possible missing messages or a period of no contact]</i>		
18	Aug 14, 2026, 7:00 PM CDT	Alex Morgan I'll make sure you never see the kids again.
19	Aug 14, 2026, 7:00 PM CDT	Jane Doe That's a threat. I'm saving this.
20	Aug 14, 2026, 8:00 PM CDT	Alex Morgan Keep this up and I'll tell the judge you're unstable.
21	Aug 15, 2026, 8:00 AM CDT	Alex Morgan You have no idea what I'm capable of.
<i>[Gap of 45 days — possible missing messages or a period of no contact]</i>		
22	Sep 29, 2026, 10:00 AM CDT	Jane Doe Exchange at the station Saturday 10am.
23	Sep 29, 2026, 11:00 AM CDT	Alex Morgan Whatever.
24	Oct 6, 2026, 10:00 AM CDT	Jane Doe Confirming Saturday.

#	Date / time	Sender / message
25	Oct 12, 2026, 4:00 PM CDT	Alex Morgan I never said that, you're making things up again.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT
PARISH OF BOSSIER, STATE OF LOUISIANA

JANE DOE v. ALEX MORGAN

Case No.: D-2026-XXXX

FORENSIC BEHAVIORAL ANALYSIS REPORT

Supporting documentation — not a substitute for expert testimony

Prepared by:	Demonstration Firm, APLC
Date:	October 13, 2026
Subject:	Alex Morgan
Messages:	25
Analysis Tier:	Forensic
Submitted to:	Counsel of record — demonstration only

Demonstration Firm, APLC

Bossier City, Louisiana

Bar: SAMPLE — not a licensed firm

FORENSIC ANALYSIS REPORT

Generated:	October 13, 2026
Case:	Alex Morgan
Matter No.:	D-2026-XXXX
Case Caption:	JANE DOE v. ALEX MORGAN
Prepared For:	Counsel of record — demonstration only
Tier:	Forensic
Messages Analyzed:	25
Date Range:	Jun 15, 2026 — Oct 12, 2026

18

HEALTH SCORE

Extreme toxicity — this relationship poses a serious risk

CONFIDENTIAL — For use by authorized legal professionals only. Not for distribution.

Demonstration Firm, APLC — Prepared by Verity Forensics

Executive Summary

Overall Health Score **18/100**

Extreme toxicity — this relationship poses a serious risk

Key Findings

Gaslighting & Reality Distortion	4 instances detected
Coercive Control & Isolation	3 instances detected
DARVO — Deny, Attack, Reverse Victim and Offender	3 instances detected
Threats & Intimidation	3 instances detected

Warning patterns detected: 4

Positive patterns detected: 1

Date range: Jun 15, 2026 — Oct 12, 2026

Total messages analyzed: 25

Pattern Analysis

Gaslighting & Reality Distortion

CRITICAL

Persistent denial, minimization, or distortion of the other party's stated experiences. Classic pattern: "That never happened," "You're imagining things," "You're too sensitive."

Instances: 4

Example messages:

- "I never said I'd pick them up at 3. You're rewriting history again."
- "The school didn't call you — you're making that up."
- "That's not what happened and you know it."

Ref: Stern, R. (2007). The Gaslight Effect. Morgan Road Books.

Ref: Bancroft, L. (2002). Why Does He Do That? Penguin Books.

Coercive Control & Isolation

CRITICAL

Systematic restriction of autonomy, monitoring of communications, and deliberate isolation from support networks.

Instances: 3

Example messages:

- "If you take them to your mom's I'm not bringing them back Sunday."
- "Send me a screenshot of who you're texting or they stay with me."
- "I'll check your location later — don't even think about lying."

Ref: Stark, E. (2007). Coercive Control. Oxford University Press.

Ref: Johnson, M. P. (2008). A Typology of Domestic Violence. Northeastern University Press.

DARVO — Deny, Attack, Reverse Victim and Offender

HIGH

When confronted, subject consistently denies behavior, attacks the complainant's credibility, and reframes themselves as the victim.

Instances: 3

Example messages:

- "You're the one keeping the kids from me. I'm documenting everything."
- "I'm the victim here. You filed first."
- "Everyone can see what you're doing to me."

Ref: Freyd, J. J. (1997). Violations of power, adaptive blindness, and betrayal trauma theory. Feminism & Psychology.

Ref: Harsey, S., & Freyd, J. (2020). Deny, Attack, and Reverse Victim and Offender. Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma.

Threats & Intimidation

HIGH

Explicit or veiled threats of harm, legal consequences, or punitive action to control behavior.

Instances: 3

Example messages:

- "I'll make sure you never see the kids again."
- "Keep this up and I'll tell the judge you're unstable."
- "You have no idea what I'm capable of."

Ref: Walker, L. E. (1979). The Battered Woman. Harper & Row.

Ref: Dutton, D. G. (1995). The Batterer: A Psychological Profile. Basic Books.

Flagged Messages

Pattern Detected	Message Excerpt
Gaslighting & Reality Distortion	I never said I'd pick them up at 3. You're rewriting history again.
Gaslighting & Reality Distortion	The school didn't call you — you're making that up.
Gaslighting & Reality Distortion	That's not what happened and you know it.
Gaslighting & Reality Distortion	I never said that, you're making things up again.
Coercive Control & Isolation	If you take them to your mom's I'm not bringing them back Sunday.
Coercive Control & Isolation	Send me a screenshot of who you're texting or they stay with me.
Coercive Control & Isolation	I'll check your location later — don't even think about lying.
DARVO — Deny, Attack, Reverse Victim and Offender	You're the one keeping the kids from me. I'm documenting everything.
DARVO — Deny, Attack, Reverse Victim and Offender	I'm the victim here. You filed first.
DARVO — Deny, Attack, Reverse Victim and Offender	Everyone can see what you're doing to me.
Threats & Intimidation	I'll make sure you never see the kids again.
Threats & Intimidation	Keep this up and I'll tell the judge you're unstable.
Threats & Intimidation	You have no idea what I'm capable of.

Methodology & Citations

This report was generated using Verity's behavioral forensics engine, which applies pattern-matching algorithms informed by established psychological frameworks including the Gottman Four Horsemen model (criticism, contempt, defensiveness, stonewalling), Coercive Control theory (Stark, 2007), DARVO analysis (Freyd, 1997), and the DSM-5 Alternative Model of Personality Disorders (Section III).

Confidence levels reflect the ratio of flagged messages to total messages analyzed. This report is for informational and educational purposes only. All findings should be considered in context and reviewed by a qualified mental health or legal professional.

References:

- Stern, R. (2007). The Gaslight Effect. Morgan Road Books.
- Bancroft, L. (2002). Why Does He Do That? Penguin Books.
- Stark, E. (2007). Coercive Control. Oxford University Press.
- Johnson, M. P. (2008). A Typology of Domestic Violence. Northeastern University Press.
- Freyd, J. J. (1997). Violations of power, adaptive blindness, and betrayal trauma theory. Feminism & Psychology.
- Harsey, S., & Freyd, J. (2020). Deny, Attack, and Reverse Victim and Offender. Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma.
- Walker, L. E. (1979). The Battered Woman. Harper & Row.
- Dutton, D. G. (1995). The Batterer: A Psychological Profile. Basic Books.

LEGAL USE STATEMENT: This forensic analysis report is intended for use by licensed legal professionals as supporting documentation in legal proceedings. Findings reflect statistical behavioral patterns and do not constitute clinical diagnosis. Expert testimony from a qualified professional is recommended.

APPENDIX A — Methodology & FRE 702 Disclosure

Method disclosure for counsel, organized around Federal Rule of Evidence 702 and Daubert v. Merrell Dow, 509 U.S. 579 (1993)

Method Overview

Verity employs lexical rule-based pattern matching applied exclusively to the subject's messages. Regular expressions derived from peer-reviewed behavioral research are tested against each message. Matching is deterministic: identical inputs produce identical outputs. All triggering phrases are preserved and disclosed in the Flagged Message Activity section.

Pattern Library

22 warning patterns (18 phrase-based, 4 behavioral) and 9 positive communication patterns are evaluated, plus an on-device language model that flags messages whose meaning resembles known abusive examples when no phrase matched. Each warning pattern is grounded in published psychological research (Gottman, 1994; Bancroft, 2002; Stark, 2007; Freyd, 1997; Stern, 2007; Walker, 1979). Pattern definitions and citations are published at getverity.report/methodology.

Confidence Scoring

Each detected pattern is assigned a confidence score (0.55–0.95) based on the ratio of flagged messages to total message volume: at least 10% = 0.95; at least 5% = 0.85; at least 2% = 0.75; at least 1% = 0.65; <1% = 0.55. Scores are reported per pattern.

Health Score Formula

Score = clamp(100 - sum of deductions - model deduction + bonuses, 0, 100). Each warning pattern deducts max x (1 - e^{-(count/scale)}): Critical max 45 (scale 6), High 30 (12), Medium 18 (20), Low 8 (30). On-device model flags deduct by rate: 60 x (1 - e^{-(max(0, rate% - 2)/2)}). Positive patterns add up to 8 pts each, 15 in total. Formula is fully disclosed and reproducible (getverity.report/methodology).

Personality Frameworks

AMPD (DSM-5 Section III; APA, 2013): patterns mapped to 5 trait domains. Dark Triad (Paulhus & Williams, 2002): Machiavellianism, Narcissism, Psychopathy. Attachment Style (Ainsworth, 1978): Secure, Anxious, Avoidant, Disorganized. These are behavioral indicators, not clinical diagnoses.

Testability

The engine is fully deterministic and auditable. Given identical input files, output is identical. Triggering phrases for each pattern flag are disclosed in the report body.

Known Limitations

Text-only analysis. False positives possible for colloquial usage. Export completeness not verified by engine. Subject identification relies on message-volume heuristics. No clinical diagnosis is expressed or implied.

FRE 702 Statement

This report is submitted as supporting forensic documentation, not as expert testimony. Whether it is admitted is for the court to decide. The attorney is advised to supplement this report with testimony from a qualified forensic psychologist or licensed mental health professional who can opine on the behavioral significance of detected patterns in the context of this specific case.